PRIMES - Partnerships and Research Investigations with
Mathematicians, Engineers and Scientists
Mathematics Science Partnership (MSP) Title IIB

Score	Rank	
Recommended for fu	nding? (Y/N)	

Project Title:							
Project Director(s):							_
Amount Requested: Year 1	; Year 2:	; Year 3	Project T	otal: _		_	
Required Components: Listed below are must be double-spaced and the font used			application. Narra	ive sec	tions of t	he pro	posal
Cover page (Appendix A) Assurances (Appendix B) Partner Identification Form (Appendix C) Resumes for project personnel Letter(s) of commitment from each partner Service agreements from schools/districts Results of Needs Assessment	YesNo erYesNo	Project Abstract Project Narrative an Bibliography Description of Aligr and Professional De Evaluation and Acco	nment to State Conte velopment Standard ountability Plan	s	YesYesYesYesYesYesYesYes	No No No No No	
1. Commitment and Capacity	of PRIMES – 20			/ <u></u>			
1a. The proposal defines the participation annual commitment level) and the inclusi English Teachers.			0% Low	1	2	3	High 4
1b. The proposal describes a plan of comprofessional development activities that veach of the three summers of the grant)			veeks in 0	1	2	3	4
1c. The proposal demonstrates how the edvelopment for educators and families a consistent with the goals of PRIMES.				1	2	3	4
1d. The proposal describes how the school outcomes with various state initiatives (i. Literacy Plans)				1	2	3	4
1e. The proposal defines the level of partito support teachers and build community			strators 0	1	2	3	4
1f. The proposal defines how PRIMES v sustainability of standards-based teaching mathematics, science, and English Langu Span Expectations (GSEs).	and learning for all stu	idents in grades 6 – 12 usi	- 11	1	2	3	4
1g. The proposal describes a plan of how engineers from higher education and indu			and 0	1	2	3	4
1h. The proposal describes a plan of how that they may support their children's edu		amilies on an on-going ba	sis so 0	1	2	3	4
				Subt	otal		

2. Demonstration of Need – 20 Points					
	Low				High
2a. The LEA is identified as having at least 20% of its students receiving free or reduced lunch.	0	1	2	3	4
2b. The proposal reflects a plan of action to increase NECAP Assessment scores in mathematics, reading, written and oral communication (and in science beginning in 2007-08) through PRIMES.	0	1	2	3	4
2c. The proposal demonstrates the alignment between PRIMES and school improvement plans, SALT surveys, or other documentation.	0	1	2	3	4
		Subto	tal		

3. Alignment of Project Goals and Objectives with Prof. Dev. Needs – 30 points					
3a. The proposal describes how professional development activities will lead to increased teacher content knowledge and pedagogy.	Low 0	1	2	3	High 4
3b. The proposal details how administrators, school counselors, English Language Learners, and Special Needs teachers will be involved in professional development to create a system that is supportive of students, families, and teachers.	0	1	2	3	4
3c. The proposal describes how partnerships formed with businesses and higher education enable students, families, and teachers to learn what is needed for students to be prepared for their needs beyond high school.	0	1	2	3	4
3d. The proposal demonstrates the need for 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Skills (as described at <a href="http://www.21stcenturyskills.org/">http://www.21stcenturyskills.org/</a> ) to be part of professional development for teachers.	0	1	2	3	4
3e. The proposal identifies standards-based programs in mathematics and science and details appropriate professional development activities to effectively implement the programs.	0	1	2	3	4
		Subto	otal		

4. Plan for Sustainability – 20 points					
4a. The proposal defines a plan to continue the work of PRIMES when the grant expires.	Low				High
	0	1	2	3	4
4b. The proposal identifies how PRIMES will be supplemented through human and material resources to support the efforts and progress made in schools.	0	1	2	3	4
4c. The proposal identifies how the LEA and its partners engage in a plan for yearly review and evaluation of PRIMES to determine progress.	0	1	2	3	4
		Subto	otal		

5. Budget and Cost Effectiveness – 10 points					
	Low				High
5a. The proposed budget is cost-efficient and reasonable.	0	1	2	3	4
5b. The proposed budget is accurate, and the budget narrative clearly details all expenditures.	0	1	2	3	4
5c. The proposed budget clearly reflects funding of PD to support targeted goals.	0	1	2	3	4
5d. The proposal demonstrates an understanding of and commitment to the PRIMES timeline provided.	0	1	2	3	4
		Subto	tal		